

**TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY STATEMENT -
MINIMUM REVENUE PROVISION POLICY STATEMENT and
ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY 2019/20**

1.0 INTRODUCTION:

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. The first part of the Treasury Management operation is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.
- 1.1.2 The second main function of the Treasury Management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer term cash flow planning to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer term cash may involve arranging long or short term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses. On occasion, when it is prudent and economic, any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.
- 1.1.3 The contribution the treasury management function makes to the authority is critical, as the balance of debt and investment operations ensure liquidity or the ability to meet spending commitments as they fall due, either on day-to-day revenue or for larger capital projects. The treasury operations will see a balance of the interest costs of debt and the investment income arising from cash deposits affecting the available budget. Since cash balances generally result from reserves and balances, it is paramount to ensure adequate security of the sums invested, as a loss of principal will in effect result in a loss to the General Fund Balance.
- 1.1.4 Whilst any commercial initiatives or loans to third parties will impact on the treasury function, these activities are generally classed as non-treasury activities, (arising usually from capital expenditure), and are separate from the day to day treasury management activities.
- 1.1.5 CIPFA defines Treasury Management as:
- “The management of the Local Authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.”
- 1.1.6 Revised reporting is required for the 2019/20 reporting cycle due to revisions of the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) Investment Guidance, the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Guidance, the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code. The primary reporting changes include the introduction of a capital strategy, to provide a longer-term focus to the capital plans, and greater reporting requirements surrounding any commercial activity undertaken under the Localism Act 2011. The Capital Strategy 2019/20 is being reported separately within this Cabinet agenda.

1.2 Reporting Requirements

Capital Strategy

- 1.2.1 The CIPFA revised 2017 Prudential and Treasury Management Codes require, for 2019/20, all local authorities to prepare an additional report, a capital strategy report, which will provide the following:
- a high-level long term overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services
 - an overview of how the associated risk is managed
 - the implications for future financial sustainability
- 1.2.2 The aim of this capital strategy is to ensure that all elected members on the full council fully understand the overall long-term policy objectives and resulting capital strategy requirements, governance procedures and risk appetite.
- 1.2.3 This capital strategy is reported separately from the Treasury Management Strategy Statement; non-treasury investments will be reported through the former. This ensures the separation of the core treasury function under security, liquidity and yield principles, and the policy and commercialism investments usually driven by expenditure on an asset. The Capital Strategy 2019/20 will show:
- The corporate governance arrangements for these types of activities;
 - Any service objectives relating to the investments;
 - The expected income, costs and resulting contribution;
 - The debt related to the activity and the associated interest costs;
 - The payback period (Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) policy);
 - For non-loan type investments, the cost against the current market value;
 - The risks associated with each activity.
- 1.2.4 Where a physical asset is being bought, details of market research, advisers used, (and their monitoring), ongoing costs and investment requirements and any credit information will be disclosed, including the ability to sell the asset and realise the investment cash.
- 1.2.5 Where the Council has borrowed to fund any non-treasury investment, there should also be an explanation of why borrowing was required and why the MHCLG Investment Guidance and CIPFA Prudential Code have not been adhered to.
- 1.2.6 If any non-treasury investment sustains a loss during the final accounts and audit process, the strategy and revenue implications will be reported through the same procedure as the capital strategy.
- 1.2.7 To demonstrate the proportionality between the treasury operations and the non-treasury operation, high-level comparators are shown throughout this report.

Treasury Management Reporting

- 1.2.8 The Council is currently required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals. In addition quarterly review reports provide a regular update to Cabinet.

Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy

1.2.9 The first, and most important report is forward looking and covers:

- the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
- a Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
- the Treasury Management Strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
- an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

A Mid Year Treasury Management Report

1.2.10 This will update Members with the progress of the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether the treasury strategy is meeting the strategy or whether any policies require revision. In addition, this Council will receive quarterly update reports.

An Annual Treasury Report

1.2.11 This is a backward looking review document and provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

Scrutiny

1.2.12 The above reports are required to be adequately scrutinised by Members before being recommended to the Council. This role is undertaken by Cabinet, in addition to this scrutiny role, Audit, Governance and Standards Committee also scrutineers these reports.

1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2019/20

1.3.1 The strategy for 2019/20 covers two main areas:

(a) Capital Issues

- the capital plans and the prudential indicators
- the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) policy

(b) Treasury Management Issues

- the current treasury position
- treasury indicators which will limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council
- prospects for interest rates
- the borrowing strategy
- policy on borrowing in advance of need
- debt rescheduling
- the investment strategy
- credit worthiness policy
- policy on use of external service providers
- member training

- 1.3.2 These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government Minimum Revenue Provision Guidance and Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government Investment guidance.

2.0 **THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2019/20 – 2021/22:**

- 2.1 The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in prudential indicators, which are designed to assist Members' overview and confirm their understanding of the Capital Programme.

Capital Expenditure

- 2.2 This prudential Indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts.

Capital Expenditure	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Services	5,319,469	13,419,211	5,795,526	1,511,136	1,628,000
Commercial activities/non-financial investments*	-	1,912,000	38,088,000	-	-
Total	5,319,469	15,331,211	43,883,526	1,511,136	1,628,000

* Commercial activities / non-financial investments relate to areas such as capital expenditure on investment properties, loans to third parties etc.

- 2.3 Other long term liabilities. The above financing need excludes other long term liabilities, such as Private Finance Initiatives and leasing arrangements which already include borrowing instruments. The Council has no Private Finance Initiatives or leases.
- 2.4 The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need. In 2019/20, borrowing may occur to support the Capital programme.

Capital Expenditure £	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Total	5,319,469	15,331,211	43,883,526	1,511,136	1,628,000
Financed by:					
Capital receipts	940,154	469,983	1,767,808	18,299	65,964
Capital grants	1,151,491	2,657,260	931,494	400,000	400,000
Capital reserves	3,147,704	659,999	1,095,656	958,136	1,027,000
Revenue	80,120	79,786	87,368	134,701	135,036
Net financing need for the year	0	11,464,183	40,001,200	0	0

The net financing need for commercial activities / non-financial investments included in the above table against expenditure is shown below:

Commercial activities / non-financial investments £m	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Capital Expenditure	0	1,912,000	38,088,000	0	0
Financing costs	0	0	53,556	1,379,879	1,379,879
Net financing need for the year	0	1,912,000	38,088,000	0	0
Percentage of total net financing need	0%	16.68%	95.22%	0%	0%

The Council's Borrowing Need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

- 2.5 The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's indebtedness and so its underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for, will increase the CFR.
- 2.6 The CFR does not increase indefinitely as the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge which broadly reduces the indebtedness in line with each assets life, and so charges the economic consumption of capital assets as they are used.
- 2.7 For the past few years, the CFR has remained at zero as the Council has been debt free and has had no underlying borrowing requirement. In 2016/17, due to the capital expenditure with regards to the loan to the local Housing Association and the loan to the Business Improvement District, the CFR has been set as this is a prudent approach to the need to borrow. In addition it is now also forecast that there will be a borrowing requirement for Commercial activities/non- financial investments as detailed in the capital expenditure table above. The CFR provides the Council with the flexibility to use borrowing to support the capital programme if it chooses to do so but still allows the use of surplus funds if available. If external borrowing is taken, consideration is given to the Treasury Management environment to ensure that the best option is achieved in relation to interest rates in the short and long term.
- 2.8 The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) includes any other long term liabilities (e.g. Private Finance Initiative schemes, finance leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes. The Council currently has no such Private Finance Initiative schemes or Finance Leases.
- 2.9 The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:-

	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Capital Financing Requirement					
CFR - Services	26,200,000	9,552,183	1,913,200	0	0
CFR – Commercial activities/ non-financial investments	0	1,912,000	38,088,000	0	0

	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Total CFR	26,200,000	37,664,183	77,665,383	76,723,590	75,787,158
Movement in the Capital Financing Requirement	0	11,464,183	40,001,200	-941,793	-936,432
Movement in Capital Financing Requirement represented by					
Net financing need for the year (above)	0	11,464,183	40,001,200	0	0
Less Minimum Revenue Provision and other financing movements	0	0	0	-941,793	-936,432
Movement in the Capital Financing Requirement	0	11,464,183	40,001,200	-941,793	936,432

- 2.10 A key aspect of the regulatory and professional guidance is that elected members are aware of the size and scope of any commercial activity in relation to the authority's overall financial position. The capital expenditure figures shown in 2.4 and the details above demonstrate the scope of this activity and, by approving these figures, consider the scale proportionate to the Council's remaining activity.

Minimum Revenue provision (MRP) Policy Statement

- 2.11 It is a statutory requirement that the Council reports on the Minimum Revenue Position and explains this policy. The Minimum Revenue Provision Policy describes that the Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year, the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) through a revenue charge known as the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP). The Council is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required. This is known as the Voluntary Revenue Provision - VRP.
- 2.12 This Council in 2019/20 will have a Capital Financing Requirement of £77,665,383 to support the total capital programme and this is the potential amount of borrowing that may be required in 2019/20.
- 2.13 Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) regulations have been issued which require the Full Council to approve a Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils, so long as there is a prudent provision. The Council is recommended to approve the following Minimum Revenue Provision Statement:
- 2.14 For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008, or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure, the Minimum Revenue Provision policy will be:
- **Based on Capital Financing Requirement** – Minimum Revenue Provision will be based on the Capital Financing Requirement. This option provides for an approximate 4% reduction in the borrowing need (Capital Financing Requirement) each year.

- 2.15 From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including Private Finance Initiative and finance leases) the Minimum Revenue Provision policy will be:
- **Asset Life Method** – Minimum Revenue Provision will be based on the estimated life of the assets, in accordance with the regulations (this option must be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction). This option provides for a reduction in the borrowing need over approximately the asset's life.
- 2.16 Repayments included in annual Private Finance Initiative scheme or finance leases are applied as Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP), though this Council does not expect to have these repayments in 2019/20 or in the foreseeable future.
- 2.17 The Capital Financing Requirement for the loan to the local Housing Association will be a maximum of £35,000,000 in 2018/19 and future years. The agreement with the local Housing Association states they will make bullet repayments to the Council at years 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25. The bullet repayments made throughout the life of the loan will be set aside by the Council when received to ensure that prudent provision is made for regular repayment. These regular bullet points will be earmarked and used as the Minimum Revenue Provision that the Council needs to make on a regular basis to reduce the Capital Financing Requirement. Therefore, if a total of £35,000,000 is loaned to the local Housing Association by the end of 2018/19, the first time the MRP charge will be made to the revenue account to reduce the level of CFR will be 2020/21 and at regular intervals thereafter.
- 2.18 The Minimum Revenue Provision for the £40m commercial investment is also worth noting in accordance with the guidance issued by the Secretary of State under section 21(1A) of the Local Government Act 2003 where it suggests that the life of an asset should not be greater than 50 years. Currently, the financial costs have been calculated using an asset life of 50 years and therefore this is likely to be the steer to the Property Investment advisor when undertaking the commercial investment. The guidance does also state that if a local authority deems an asset to have a life greater than 50 years then a professional advisor is required to be appointed by the local authority to support this, however asset life of greater than 50 years is for capital expenditure to enhance the delivery of services rather than the capital expenditure on commercial investment.
- 2.19 The Minimum Revenue Provision Asset Life Method provides for a prudent provision to reduce the borrowing need over approximately the asset's life. Therefore the minimum revenue provision set aside would be the same every year. This method of minimum revenue provision is usually used when a maturity loan is taken. An alternative calculation for the minimum revenue provision is when an annuity loan is taken and then the annuity method is used. This occurs when the amount of principle and interest together total the same amount each year; therefore in the earlier years there is a lower minimum revenue provision charge. This method is likely to be used for the initial years of the commercial investment; it is similar to a mortgage and can potentially maximise the amount of income generated to support the revenue budget whilst still being a prudent approach to the repayment of debt.
- 2.20 When advice is taken from the Property Investment advisor to undertake the commercial investment, consideration will be given to the view that a prudent approach to the repayment of debt is not to set aside an amount at all. The rationale behind this is that the borrowing will be held for a specified number of years to cover the capital expenditure of the commercial investment, then when it is decided to realise the property commercial investment the funds will be returned to the Council. The council will then use this to repay the borrowing; clearly it is expected that the property investment will have appreciated in value. Past performance is no guarantee of future increase in value of a commercial property investment and therefore if this approach were to be taken a Cabinet report would be written to recommend to Council this approach and Members would be able to make a considered decision.

2.21 Finally it should be noted that a further change introduced by the revised Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) Minimum Revenue Provision Guidance was the allowance that any charges made over the statutory minimum revenue provision (MRP), called voluntary revenue provision or overpayments, can, if needed, be reclaimed in later years if deemed necessary or prudent. In order for these sums to be reclaimed for use in the 2019/20 budget the voluntary revenue provision must be stated. This Council has never overpaid minimum revenue provision so this does not apply; however it is noted here for future reference if ever needed.

Core funds and expected investment balances

2.22 The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.). Detailed below are estimates of the year end balances for each resource and anticipated day to day cash flow balances. Working capital balances (Debtors and Creditors) shown in the table are included in 'Other' which is the estimated position at the year-end; these may fluctuate during the year. The Council will run its cash close to zero, therefore reducing its external borrowing costs as interest rates for investments remain at a low level.

Year End Resources	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Fund balances / reserves	15,472,295	13,452,233	10,404,904	9,086,381	7,664,011
Capital receipts	2,077,584	2,834,084	1,326,276	1,539,977	1,484,013
Provisions	0	0	0	0	0
Other	7,450,121	8,713,683	4,268,820	3,373,642	3,851,976
Total core funds	25,000,000	25,000,000	16,000,000	14,000,000	13,000,000
Under/over borrowing	25,000,000	25,000,000	16,000,000	14,000,000	13,000,000
Expected investments	0	0	0	0	0

Affordability Prudential Indicators

2.23 The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

2.24 **Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream.** This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs) against the net revenue stream.

%	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Services	0.12%	0.29%	2.87%	4.06%	4.08%
Commercial activities/non-financial investments	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	70.91%	70.91%
Total	0.12%	0.29%	2.87%	14.39%	14.45%

2.25 This shows the proportion of finance costs in relation to the Council's total net income position; where the finance costs are the interest on borrowing and the minimum revenue provision set aside to repay that borrowing and where the total net income position is the net funding position of the council – Council tax, business rates, grant funding, income generated – and also income received from the loan to the local housing association.

3.0 **BORROWING:**

3.1 The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity and the Council's capital strategy. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury/prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

3.2 **Current Portfolio Position**

3.2.1 The overall treasury position as at 31 March 2018 and for the position as at 31 December 2018 are shown below for both borrowing and investments.

TREASURY PORTFOLIO				
	<u>Actual</u> <u>31.03.18</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>31.03.18</u>	<u>Current</u> <u>31.12.18</u>	<u>Current</u> <u>31.12.18</u>
	<u>£000</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>£000</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>Treasury Investments</u>				
Banks	2,180	100	2,740	35
Money Market Funds	-	-	5,200	65
Total Treasury Investments	2,180	100	7,940	100
<u>Treasury External Borrowing</u>				
Local Authorities	5,000	81	-	-
Public Works Loan Board	1,200	19	1,200	100
Total External Borrowing	6,200	100	1,200	100
Net Treasury Investments / (Borrowing)	4,020	-	9,140	-

3.2.2 The Council's forward projections are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt (the treasury management operations), against the underlying capital borrowing need the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing. The actual position for 2017/18 and the estimated position for future years is reflected in the table below:

£	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
External Debt					
Debt at 1 April	1,200,000	6,200,000	12,664,183	52,665,383	52,665,383
Expected change in Debt	0	11,464,183	40,001,200	0	0
Actual gross debt at 31 March	1,200,000	17,664,183	52,665,383	52,665,383	52,665,383
The Capital Financing Requirement	26,200,000	37,664,183	77,665,383	77,665,383	77,665,383
Under / (over) borrowing	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000

3.2.3 Within the above figures, the level of debt relating to commercial activities / non-financial investment is:

£	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
External Debt for commercial activities / non-financial investments					
Actual debt at 31 March £m	0	1,912,000	38,088,000	0	0
Percentage of total external debt %	0%	15.10%	95.22%	0%	0%

3.2.4 Within the range of prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt, does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2019/20 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue or speculative purposes.

3.2.5 The Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer) reports that the Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in the budget report.

3.3 Treasury Indicators: Limits to Borrowing Activity

3.3.1 **The Operational Boundary.** This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt. In order to give the Council complete flexibility these limits for borrowing activity are always set at the beginning of each financial year.

Operational boundary	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Debt	37,000,000	38,800,000	38,800,000	38,800,000
Other long term liabilities	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000
Commercial activities/non-financial investments	2,000,000	40,000,000	40,000,000	40,000,000
Total	39,600,000	79,400,000	79,400,000	79,400,000

3.3.2 **The Authorised Limit for external debt.** A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

1. This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all Councils' plans, or those of a specific Council, although this power has not yet been exercised.
2. The Council is asked to approve the following Authorised Limit. This limit is set to give the Council complete flexibility and also to encompass the maximum amount of borrowing that could occur for the capital programme:

Authorised limit £	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Debt	37,500,000	40,000,000	40,000,000	40,000,000
Other long term liabilities	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Commercial activities/non-financial investments	2,000,000	40,000,000	40,000,000	40,000,000
Total	40,500,000	81,000,000	81,000,000	81,000,000

3.4 **Prospects for Interest Rates and Economic Background**

3.4.1 The Economic Background is attached at Annex C3 which includes the Brexit timetable and and economic update.

3.4.2 The Council has appointed Link Asset Services as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives Link Asset Services central view.

Annual Average %	Bank Rate %	PWLB Borrowing Rates % (including certainty rate adjustment)			
		5 year	10 year	25 year	50 year
Mar 2019	0.75	2.10	2.50	2.90	2.70
Jun 2019	1.00	2.20	2.60	3.00	2.80
Sep 2019	1.00	2.20	2.60	3.10	2.90
Dec 2019	1.00	2.30	2.70	3.10	2.90
Mar 2020	1.25	2.30	2.80	3.20	3.00
Jun 2020	1.25	2.40	2.90	3.30	3.10
Sep 2020	1.25	2.50	2.90	3.30	3.10
Dec 2020	1.50	2.50	3.00	3.40	3.20
Mar 2021	1.50	2.60	3.00	3.40	3.20
Jun 2021	1.75	2.60	3.10	3.50	3.30
Sep 2021	1.75	2.70	3.10	3.50	3.30
Dec 2021	1.75	2.80	3.20	3.60	3.40
Mar 2022	2.00	2.80	3.20	3.60	3.40

3.4.3 The flow of generally positive economic statistics after the quarter ended 30 June 2018 meant that it came as no surprise that the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) came to a decision on 2 August 2018 to make the first increase in Bank Rate above 0.5% since the financial crash, from 0.5% to 0.75%. Growth became increasingly strong during 2018 until slowing significantly during the last quarter. At their November 2018 quarterly Inflation Report meeting, the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) left Bank Rate unchanged, but expressed some concern at the Chancellor's fiscal stimulus in his Budget, which could increase inflationary pressures. However, it is unlikely that the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) would increase Bank Rate in February 2019, ahead of the deadline in March for Brexit. On a major assumption that Parliament and the EU agree a Brexit deal in the first quarter of 2019, then the next increase in Bank Rate is forecast to be in May 2019, followed by increases in February and November 2020, before ending up at 2.0% in February 2022.

3.4.4 The overall longer run future trend is for gilt yields, and consequently Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) rates, to rise, albeit gently. However, over about the last 25 years, we have been through a period of falling bond yields as inflation subsided to, and then stabilised at, much lower levels than before, and supported by central banks implementing substantial quantitative easing purchases of government and other debt after the financial crash of 2008. Quantitative easing, conversely, also caused a rise in equity values as investors searched for higher returns and purchased riskier assets. In 2016, we saw the start of a reversal of this trend with a sharp rise in bond yields after the US Presidential election in November 2016, with yields then rising further as a result of the big increase in the US government deficit aimed at stimulating even stronger economic growth. That policy change also created concerns around a significant rise in inflationary pressures in an economy which was already running at remarkably low levels of unemployment. Unsurprisingly, the Fed has continued on its series of robust responses to combat its perception of rising inflationary pressures by repeatedly increasing the Fed rate to reach 2.25 – 2.50% in December 2018. It has also continued its policy of not fully reinvesting proceeds from bonds that it holds as a result of quantitative easing, when they mature. We therefore saw US 10 year bond Treasury yields rise above 3.2% during October 2018 and also investors causing a sharp fall in equity prices as they sold out of holding riskier assets. However, by early January 2019, US 10 year bond yields had fallen back considerably on fears that the Fed was being too aggressive in raising interest rates and was going to cause a recession. Equity prices have been very volatile on alternating good and bad news during this period.

3.4.5 From time to time, gilt yields, and therefore Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) rates, can be subject to exceptional levels of volatility due to geo-political, sovereign debt crisis, emerging market developments and sharp changes in investor sentiment. Such volatility could occur at any time during the forecast period.

3.4.6 Economic and interest rate forecasting remains difficult with so many external influences weighing on the UK. The above forecasts, (and Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) decisions), will be liable to further amendment depending on how economic data and developments in financial markets transpire over the next year. Geopolitical developments, especially in the EU, could also have a major impact. Forecasts for average investment earnings beyond the three-year time horizon will be heavily dependent on economic and political developments.

3.4.7 Investment and borrowing rates

- Investment returns are likely to remain low during 2019/20 but to be on a gently rising trend over the next few years.
- Borrowing interest rates have been volatile so far in 2018-19 and while they were on a rising trend during the first half of the year, they have backtracked since then until early January. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by running down spare cash balances has served well over the last few years. However, this needs to be carefully reviewed to avoid incurring higher borrowing costs in the future when authorities may not be able to avoid new borrowing to finance capital expenditure and/or the refinancing of maturing debt;
- There will remain a cost of carry, (the difference between higher borrowing costs and lower investment returns), to any new long-term borrowing that causes a temporary increase in cash balances as this position will, most likely, incur a revenue cost.

3.5 Borrowing Strategy

3.5.1 The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is still an issue that needs to be considered.

3.5.2 If the Council does undertake borrowing then interest rates will be viewed from 1 year to 50 years, in accordance with the interest rates available from the markets as well as the Governments Public Works Loans Board (PWLB). For 2019/20 interest rates span between 5 years at 2.10% to 2.80%, 10 at 2.50% to 3.20%, 25 at 2.90% to 3.60% or 50 years at 2.70% to 3.4%. The interest rates trend is to increase slightly across all years as the 2019/20 year progresses. Therefore, in the current volatile money market, the borrowing target rate for 2019/20 is set at 3.30%. External borrowing will be considered throughout the financial year when interest rates seem most favourable.

3.5.3 Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2019/20 treasury operations. The Director of Finance will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances:

- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a sharp FALL in long and short term rates (e.g. due to a marked increase of risks around relapse into recession or of risks of deflation), then long term borrowings will be postponed, and potential rescheduling from fixed rate funding into short term borrowing will be considered.*
- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a much sharper RISE in long and short term rates than that currently forecast, perhaps arising from an acceleration in the rate of increase in central rates in the USA and UK, an increase in world economic activity or a sudden increase in inflation risks, then the portfolio position will be re-appraised. Most likely, fixed rate funding will be drawn whilst interest rates are lower than they are projected in the next few years.*

Any decisions will be reported to Cabinet at the next available opportunity.

3.6 Policy on Borrowing in Advance of Need

3.6.1 The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

Borrowing in advance will be made within the constraints that:

- The authority would not look to borrow more than 12 months in advance of need.

3.6.2 Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the quarterly, mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

3.7 Debt Rescheduling

3.7.1 It is not anticipated that in 2018/19 that debt rescheduling will occur. The Council currently only has long term debt of £1,200,000. However, in order to cover all possibilities in the Treasury Management Strategy Statement it should be noted that short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, therefore, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings would need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premium charges would be incurred).

3.7.2 The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- helping to fulfil the treasury strategy; and
- enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).

3.7.3 Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.

3.7.4 All rescheduling will be reported to Cabinet, at the earliest meeting following its action.

3.8 Municipal Bond Agency

3.8.1 It is likely that the Municipal Bond Agency, currently in the process of being set up, will be offering loans to Local Authorities sometime in the future. It is also hoped that the borrowing rates will be lower than those offered by the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB).

The Council could therefore potentially make use of this new source of borrowing as and when appropriate to fund all or part of the borrowing required for the two previously mentioned schemes.

4.0 Annual Investment Strategy

4.1 Investment Policy

4.1.1 The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) and CIPFA have extended the meaning of 'investments' to include both financial and non-financial investments. This report deals solely with financial investments, (as managed by the treasury management team). Non-financial investments, essentially the purchase of income yielding assets, are covered in the Capital Strategy, which included on the agenda at this Cabinet.

The Council's investment policy has regard to the following: -

- Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance")
- CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes 2017 ("the Code")
- CIPFA Treasury Management Guidance Notes 2018
- The Council's investment priorities will be security first, portfolio liquidity second and then yield, (return).

4.1.2 The above guidance from the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) and CIPFA place a high priority on the management of risk. This authority has adopted a prudent approach to managing risk and defines its risk appetite by the following means: -

1. Minimum acceptable credit criteria are applied in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties. This also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the short term and long-term ratings.
2. Other information: ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To achieve this consideration the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as "credit default swaps" and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.
3. Other information sources used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.

4. This authority has defined the list of types of investment instruments that the treasury management team are authorised to use; there are two lists in Annex C1 under the categories of 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments and Counterparty limits will be as set through the Council's treasury management practices – schedules.
 - **Specified investments** are those with a high level of credit quality and subject to a maturity limit of one year.
 - **Non-specified investments** are those with less high credit quality, may be for periods in excess of one year, and/or are more complex instruments which require greater consideration by members and officers before being authorised for use.
5. Non-specified investments limit. The Council has determined that it will limit the maximum total exposure to non-specified investments as being 10% of the total investment portfolio.
6. Transaction limits are set for each type of investment in in Annex C1.
7. This authority will set a limit for the amount of its investments which are invested for longer than 365 days, in Annex C1
8. Investments will only be placed with counterparties from countries with a specified minimum sovereign rating, in Annex C1

4.1.3 With regards to counterparty limits and the amount of surplus funds to be placed with any one counterparty or group of counterparties, specific advice has been taken from the Council's Treasury Management Advisors (Link Asset Services) due to the difficulty in placing surplus funds in the current economic environment. Therefore the Counterparty limits are detailed as follows:

Individual Limits – These limits will be set at 30% of total investments or £3m per counterparty whichever is the higher. There are three exceptions to this policy:

- (a) with counterparties that are backed by the Government – Royal Bank of Scotland and Natwest – (and therefore are more secure) there will be a 40% limit or £5m per counterparty whichever is the higher.
- (b) with the Council's own bank - Lloyds - and associated banks in the Lloyds group – Bank of Scotland – there will be a 40% limit or £5m per counterparty whichever is the higher
- (c) with the Debt Management Agency Deposit there will be an unlimited amount with this organisation due to its high level of security.

It should be noted that it is expected during 2019/20, that the status of the current counterparties backed by the Government in (a) above may change. If this occurs a report will be brought to Cabinet at the earliest opportunity with the revised limits.

- **Group Limits** – this policy recognises that individual counterparties (banks/financial institutions etc), whilst being sound in themselves, may be part of a larger group. This brings with it added risks where parent institutions may be in difficulties. Therefore, due to the reduced surplus balances available for investment, the group limit will also be as stated for the individual limits as it is important to diversify the risk to a variety of counterparties.

4.1.4 As a result of the change in accounting standards for 2018/19 under IFRS 9, this Council will consider the implications of investment instruments which could result in an adverse movement in the value of the amount invested and resultant charges at the end of the year to the General Fund. (In November 2018, the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, (MHCLG), concluded a consultation for a temporary override to allow English local authorities time to adjust their portfolio of all pooled investments by announcing a statutory override to delay implementation of IFRS 9 for five years commencing from 1st April 2018.

4.1.5 However, this authority will also pursue value for money in treasury management and will monitor the yield from investment income against appropriate benchmarks for investment performance, (see paragraph 4.5). Regular monitoring of investment performance will be carried out during the year.

4.2 Creditworthiness policy

4.2.1 This Council applies the creditworthiness service provided by Link Asset Services – the Council’s Treasury Management Advisors. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody’s and Standard and Poor’s. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- Credit Default Swap spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

4.2.2 This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit Watches and credit Outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of Credit Default Swap (CDS) spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

- Yellow 5 years
- Dark pink 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25
- Light pink 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.50
- Purple 2 years
- Blue 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)
- Orange 1 year
- Red 6 months
- Green 100 days
- No colour not to be used

Y	Pi1	Pi2	P	B	O	R	G	N/C
1	1.25	1.5	2	3	4	5	6	7
Up to 5yrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 2yrs	Up to 1yr	Up to 1yr	Up to 6mths	Up to 100days	No Colour

4.2.3 The Link Asset Services’ creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information than just primary ratings and using a risk weighted scoring system does not give undue preponderance to just one agency’s ratings.

4.2.4 Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a Short Term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of F1 and a Long Term rating of A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

- 4.2.5 All credit ratings will be monitored weekly. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the Link Asset Services creditworthiness service.
- if a downgrade results in the counterparty/investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
 - in addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a daily basis via its Passport website, provided exclusively to it by Link Asset Services. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Council's lending list.

4.2.6 Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on any external support for banks to help support its decision making process.

4.2.7 **UK banks – ring fencing**

The largest UK banks, (those with more than £25bn of retail / Small and Medium-sized Enterprise (SME) deposits), are required, by UK law, to separate core retail banking services from their investment and international banking activities by 1st January 2019. This is known as “ring-fencing”. Whilst smaller banks with less than £25bn in deposits are exempt, they can choose to opt up. Several banks are very close to the threshold already and so may come into scope in the future regardless.

Ring-fencing is a regulatory initiative created in response to the global financial crisis. It mandates the separation of retail and SME deposits from investment banking, in order to improve the resilience and resolvability of banks by changing their structure. In general, simpler, activities offered from within a ring-fenced bank, (RFB), will be focused on lower risk, day-to-day core transactions, whilst more complex and “riskier” activities are required to be housed in a separate entity, a non-ring-fenced bank, (NRFB). This is intended to ensure that an entity's core activities are not adversely affected by the acts or omissions of other members of its group.

While the structure of the banks included within this process may have changed, the fundamentals of credit assessment have not. The Council will continue to assess the new-formed entities in the same way that it does others and those with sufficiently high ratings, (and any other metrics considered), will be considered for investment purposes.

4.3 **Country Limits**

- 4.3.1 The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA- from Fitch (or equivalent), other than the UK where the Council has set no limit. The list of countries that qualify using this AA- credit criteria, as at the date of this report, are shown in Annex C2. This list will be added to, or deducted from, by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.
- 4.3.2 The UK sovereign rating is currently AA and following advice from Link Asset Services, the Council's Treasury Management Advisors, and the Council will still operate with UK counterparties.
- 4.3.3 The Council has determined that, other than the United Kingdom where no limit will apply, a maximum of 30% of total investments or £3.0m whichever is the lower will be invested in a single institution of a AA- sovereign rated country
- 4.3.4 In addition, this policy restricts the total of investments in foreign countries to 40% of the total investments.

4.4 Investment Strategy

4.4.1 In-house funds

Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months).

4.4.2 Investment returns expectations

Bank Rate is forecast to increase steadily but slowly over the next few years to reach 2.00% by Quarter 1 2022. Bank Rate forecasts for financial year ends (March) are:

- 2018/2019 0.75%
- 2019/2020 1.25%
- 2020/2021 1.50%
- 2021/2022 2.00%

4.4.3 The suggested budgeted investment earnings rates for returns on investments placed for periods up to about three months during each financial year are as follows:

- 2018/19 0.75%
- 2019/20 1.00%
- 2020/21 1.50%
- 2021/22 1.75%
- 2022/23 1.75%
- 2023/24 2.00%
- Later years 2.50%

4.4.4 The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is probably neutral. The balance of risks to increases in Bank Rate and shorter term PWLB rates, are probably also even and are dependent on how strong GDP growth turns out, how slowly inflation pressures subside, and how quickly the Brexit negotiations move forward positively.

4.4.5 Investment Treasury Indicator and Limit

Total principal funds invested for greater than 365 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

4.4.6 The Council is asked to approve the treasury indicator and limit: -

Maximum principal sums invested > 365 days			
£	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Principal sums invested > 365 days	£1,000,000	£1,000,000	£1,000,000

4.4.7 For its cash flow generated balances, the Council will seek to utilise its business reserve instant access and notice accounts, money market funds and short dated deposits (overnight to 100 days) in order to benefit from the compounding of interest.

4.5 Investment Risk Benchmarking

4.5.1 This Council will use an investment benchmark to assess the investment performance of its investment portfolio of 7 day, 1, 3, 6 or 12 month LIBID.

4.6 End of year investment report

4.6.1 At the end of the 2018/19 financial year, the Council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

5.0 Policy on the Use of External Service Providers and Training

5.1 Policy on the Use of External Service Providers

5.1.1 The Council uses Link Asset Services, Treasury Solutions as its external treasury management advisors. The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the Council at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon our external service providers. All decisions will be undertaken with regards to all available information, including, but not solely, our treasury advisers.

5.1.2 It is also recognised that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

5.1.3 In addition, to appointing external treasury management advisors, it is worth noting that for the undertaking of non-treasury investments, e.g. investment in commercial properties, then a further advisor would be appointed as the Council would require specialist property advice. The scope of investments in the future within the Council's operations will include both conventional treasury investments, (the placing of residual cash from the Council's functions), and more commercial type investments, such as investment properties.

5.2 Training

5.2.1 The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management. This applies to cabinet members and members on scrutiny committee. During 2019/20, members will be offered training to provide an overview of treasury management and also any specific treasury management are they would choose. This training can be provided by Council officers or by the external service provider – Link Asset Services. The training needs of treasury management officers in the Council are periodically reviewed and officers have the opportunity to attend seminars and update services from Link Asset Services.

TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE – TMP1
CREDIT AND COUNTERPARTY RISK MANAGEMENT
- SPECIFIED AND NON-SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS AND LIMITS

1.0 SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:

1.1 All such investments will be sterling denominated, with **maturities up to maximum of 1 year**, meeting the minimum 'high' quality criteria where applicable.

2.0 NON-SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:

2.1 These are any investments which do not meet the Specified Investment criteria. A maximum of 100% will be held in aggregate in non-specified investment

3.0 INVESTMENT INSTRUMENTS:

3.1 A variety of investment instruments will be used, subject to the credit quality of the institution, and depending on the type of investment made, it will fall into one of the above categories.

3.2 The criteria, time limits and monetary limits applying to institutions or investment vehicles are:

	Minimum credit criteria / colour band	** Max % of total investments/ £ limit per institution	Max. maturity period
DMADF – UK Government	N/A	100%	6 months
UK Government gilts	UK sovereign rating		12 months
UK Government Treasury bills	UK sovereign rating		12 months
Bonds issued by multilateral development banks	AAA (or state your criteria if different)		6 months
Money Market Funds CNAV	AAA	100%	Liquid
Money Market Funds LVNAV	AAA		Liquid
Money Market Funds VNAV	AAA		Liquid
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25	AAA	100%	Liquid

Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5	AAA	100%	Liquid
Local authorities	N/A	100%	12 months
Term deposits with housing associations	Blue Orange Red Green No Colour		12 months 12 months 6 months 100 days Not for use
Term deposits with banks and building societies	Blue Orange Red Green No Colour		12 months 12 months 6 months 100 days Not for use
CDs or corporate bonds with banks and building societies	Blue Orange Red Green No Colour		12 months 12 months 6 months 100 days Not for use
Gilt funds	UK sovereign rating		

A) – SPECIFIED

<i>Institution / Counterparty</i>	<i>Minimum 'High' Credit Criteria</i>	<i>Use</i>
Debt Management Agency Deposit Facility	--	In-house
Term deposits – local authorities	--	In-house
Term deposits – housing associations	--	In-house
Term deposits – banks and building societies	Coded: Orange on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Short-term F1+, Long-term AA- Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house
UK Part nationalised banks	Coded: Blue on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Short-term F1+, Long-term AA- Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house and Fund Mangers

Banks part nationalised by high credit rated (sovereign rating) countries – non UK	Coded: Blue on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Long-term AAA, Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house and Fund Mangers
Collateralised deposit	Coded: Orange on Link Asset Services' Matrix / UK Sovereign rating	In-house and Fund Mangers
UK Government Gilts	UK Sovereign rating	In-house buy and hold and Fund Managers
Bonds issued by multilateral development banks	Coded: Orange on Link Asset Services' Matrix / Long term AAA	In-house buy and hold and Fund Managers
Bonds issued by a financial institution which is guaranteed by the UK Government	UK Sovereign rating	In-house buy and hold and Fund Managers
Sovereign bond issues (other than the UK Government)	Coded: Orange on Link Asset Services' Matrix / Long term AAA	In-house buy and hold and Fund Managers
Treasury Bills	UK Sovereign rating	Fund Managers
Collective Investment Schemes structured as Open Ended Investment Companies (OEICs): -		
1. Money Market Funds (CNAV)	MMF rating	In-house and Fund Managers
2a. Money Market Funds (LVNAV)	MMF rating	In-house and Fund Managers
2b. Money Market Funds (VNAV)	MMF rating	In-house and Fund Managers
3. Ultra-Short Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25	Bond fund rating	In-house and Fund Managers
4. Ultra-Short Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.50	Bond fund rating	In-house and Fund Managers
5. Bond Funds	Bond fund rating	In-house and Fund Managers
6. Gilt Funds	Bond fund rating	In-house and Fund Managers

NON-SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:

A maximum of 100% can be held in aggregate in non-specified investment

1. Maturities of ANY period

Institution / Counterparty	Minimum Credit Criteria	Use	Max % of total investments	Max. maturity period
Term deposits – banks and building societies	Coded: red (6mths) and green (3mths) on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house	100%	3-6 Months
Fixed term deposits with variable rate and variable maturities: -Structured deposits	Coded: orange (1yr) red (6mths) and green (3mths) on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house	40%	1 Year
Certificates of deposits issued by banks and building societies.	Coded: orange (1yr) red (6mths) and green (3mths) on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house buy and hold and Fund Managers	30%	1 Year
Commercial paper other	Coded: orange (1yr) red (6mths) and green (3mths) on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house	30%	1 Year

Corporate Bonds	Coded: orange (1yr) red (6mths) and green (3mths) on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Short-term F1, Long-term A-, Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house and Fund Managers	30%	1 Year
Floating Rate Notes:	Long-term AAA	Fund Managers	N/A – Capital Expenditure	N/A – Capital Expenditure
Collective Investment Schemes structured as Open Ended Investment Companies (OEICs)				
Corporate Bond Fund	-	In house and Fund Managers		
Property fund: the use of these investments would constitute capital expenditure	-	Fund Managers	N/A – Capital Expenditure	N/A – Capital Expenditure

2. Maturities in excess of 1 year

Institution / Counterparty	Minimum Credit Criteria	Use	Max % of total investments	Max. maturity period
Term deposits – local authorities	--	In-house	30%	> 1 year
Term deposits – banks and building societies	Coded: Purple (2yrs) on Link Asset Services' Matrix. Fitch's rating: Short-term F1+, Long-term AA- Or equivalent rating from Standard & Poors and Moody's	In-house	30%	> 1 year
Certificates of deposits issued by banks and building societies	Coded: Purple(2yrs) on Link Asset Services' Matrix / Short-term F1+, Long-term AA-	Fund Managers	30%	> 1 year
UK Government Gilts	UK Sovereign rating	In-house and Fund Managers	30%	> 1 year
Bonds issued by multilateral development banks	Long term AAA	In-house and Fund Managers	30%	> 1 year

Sovereign bond issues (i.e. other than the UK Government)	Long term AAA	In-house and Fund Managers	30%	> 1 year
Corporate Bonds	Long term AAA	In-house and Fund Managers	30%	> 1 year
Collective Investment Schemes structure as open Ended Investment Companies (OEICs)				
1. Bond Funds	Long-term AAA	In-house and Fund Managers	30%	> 1 year
2. Gilt Funds	Long-term AAA	In-house and Fund Managers	30%	> 1 year

APPROVED COUNTRIES FOR INVESTMENT
Current List as at 8 January 2019

Link Asset Services has advised that Councils should only use approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating determined by the Council. This Council has determined that it will only use those countries with the sovereign rating of AA- or higher other than the UK where the Council has set no limit. This list will be monitored at least weekly (and for information purposes only, includes other sovereign ratings)

Based on lowest available rating

AAA

- Australia
- Canada
- Denmark
- Germany
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Singapore
- Sweden
- Switzerland

AA+

- Finland
- U.S.A.

AA

- Abu Dhabi (UAE)
- France
- Hong Kong
- UK

AA-

- Belgium
- Qatar

ECONOMIC BACKGROUND AND BREXIT TIMETABLE**GLOBAL OUTLOOK**

World growth has been doing reasonably well, aided by strong growth in the US. However, US growth is likely to fall back in 2019 and, together with weakening economic activity in China and the eurozone, overall world growth is likely to weaken.

Inflation has been weak during 2018 but, at long last, unemployment falling to remarkably low levels in the US and UK has led to a marked acceleration of wage inflation. The US Fed has therefore increased rates nine times and the Bank of England twice. However, the ECB is unlikely to start raising rates until late in 2019 at the earliest.

KEY RISKS**Central bank monetary policy measures****KEY RISKS - central bank monetary policy measures**

Looking back on nearly ten years since the financial crash of 2008 when liquidity suddenly dried up in financial markets, it can be assessed that central banks' monetary policy measures to counter the sharp world recession were successful. The key monetary policy measures they used were a combination of lowering central interest rates and flooding financial markets with liquidity, particularly through unconventional means such as quantitative easing (QE), where central banks bought large amounts of central government debt and smaller sums of other debt.

The key issue now is that that period of stimulating economic recovery and warding off the threat of deflation, is coming towards its close. A new period is well advanced in the US, and started more recently in the UK, of reversing those measures i.e. by raising central rates and, (for the US), reducing central banks' holdings of government and other debt. These measures are now required in order to stop the trend of a reduction in spare capacity in the economy and of unemployment falling to such low levels, that the re-emergence of inflation is viewed as a major risk. It is, therefore, crucial that central banks get their timing right and do not cause shocks to market expectations that could destabilise financial markets. In particular, a key risk is that because quantitative easing (QE)-driven purchases of bonds drove up the price of government debt, and therefore caused a sharp drop in income yields, this also encouraged investors into a search for yield and into investing in riskier assets such as equities. Consequently, prices in both bond and equity markets rose to historically high valuation levels simultaneously. This meant that both asset categories were exposed to the risk of a sharp downward correction and we have indeed, seen a sharp fall in equity values in the last quarter of 2018. It is important, therefore, that central banks only gradually unwind their holdings of bonds in order to prevent destabilising the financial markets. It is also likely that the timeframe for central banks unwinding their holdings of quantitative easing (QE) debt purchases will be over several years. They need to balance their timing to neither squash economic recovery, by taking too rapid and too strong action, or, conversely, let inflation run away by taking action that was too slow and/or too weak. The potential for central banks to get this timing and strength of action wrong are now key risks. At the time of writing, (early January 2019), financial markets are very concerned that the Fed is being too aggressive with its policy for raising interest rates and was likely to cause a recession in the US economy.

The world economy also needs to adjust to a sharp change in liquidity creation over the last five years where the US has moved from boosting liquidity by quantitative easing (QE) purchases, to reducing its holdings of debt, (currently about \$50bn per month). In addition, the European Central Bank ended its quantitative easing (QE) purchases in December 2018.

UK

The flow of positive economic statistics since the end of the first quarter of 2018 has shown that pessimism was overdone about the poor growth in quarter 1 when adverse weather caused a temporary downward blip. Quarter 1 at 0.1% growth in GDP was followed by a return to 0.4% in quarter 2 and by a strong performance in quarter 3 of +0.6%. However, growth in quarter 4 is expected to weaken significantly.

At their November quarterly Inflation Report meeting, the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) repeated their well-worn phrase that future Bank Rate increases would be gradual and would rise to a much lower equilibrium rate, (where monetary policy is neither expansionary or contractionary), than before the crash; indeed they gave a figure for this of around 2.5% in ten years' time, but declined to give a medium term forecast. However, with so much uncertainty around Brexit, they warned that the next move could be up or down, even if there was a disorderly Brexit. While it would be expected that Bank Rate could be cut if there was a significant fall in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth as a result of a disorderly Brexit, so as to provide a stimulus to growth, they warned they could also *raise* Bank Rate in the same scenario if there was a boost to inflation from a devaluation of sterling, increases in import prices and more expensive goods produced in the UK replacing cheaper goods previously imported, and so on. In addition, the Chancellor could potentially provide fiscal stimulus to support economic growth, though at the cost of increasing the budget deficit above currently projected levels.

It is unlikely that the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) would increase Bank Rate in February 2019, ahead of the deadline in March for Brexit. Getting parliamentary approval for a Brexit agreement on both sides of the Channel will take well into spring 2019. However, in view of the hawkish stance of the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) at their November meeting, the next increase in Bank Rate is now forecast to be in May 2019, (on the assumption that a Brexit deal is agreed by both the UK and the EU). The following increases are then forecast to be in February and November 2020 before ending up at 2.0% in February 2022.

Inflation

The Consumer Price Index (CPI) measure of inflation has been falling from a peak of 3.1% in November 2017 to 2.3% in November. In the November Bank of England quarterly Inflation Report, inflation was forecast to still be marginally above its 2% inflation target two years ahead, (at about 2.1%), given a scenario of minimal increases in Bank Rate. This inflation forecast is likely to be amended upwards due to the Bank's report being produced prior to the Chancellor's announcement of a significant fiscal stimulus in the Budget; this is likely to add 0.3% to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth at a time when there is little spare capacity left in the economy, particularly of labour.

As for the labour market figures in October, unemployment at 4.1% was marginally above a 43 year low of 4% on the Independent Labour Organisation measure. A combination of job vacancies hitting an all-time high, together with negligible growth in total employment numbers, indicates that employers are now having major difficulties filling job vacancies with suitable staff. It was therefore unsurprising that wage inflation picked up to 3.3%, (3 month average regular pay, excluding bonuses). This meant that in real terms, (i.e. wage rates less Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation), earnings are currently growing by about 1.0%, the highest level since 2009. This increase in household spending power is likely to feed through into providing some support to the overall rate of economic growth in the coming months. This tends to confirm that the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) was right to start on a cautious increase in Bank Rate in August as it views wage inflation in excess of 3% as increasing inflationary pressures within the UK economy.

In the political arena, there is a risk that the current Conservative minority government may be unable to muster a majority in the Commons over Brexit. However, our central position is that Prime Minister May's government will endure, despite various setbacks, along the route to reaching an orderly Brexit in March 2019. If, however, the UK faces a general election in the next 12 months, this could result in a potential loosening of monetary and fiscal policy and therefore

medium to longer dated gilt yields could rise on the expectation of a weak pound and concerns around inflation picking up.

Euro Zone

Growth was 0.4% in quarters 1 and 2 but fell back to 0.2% in quarter 3, though this was probably just a temporary dip. In particular, data from Germany has been mixed and it could be negatively impacted by US tariffs on a significant part of manufacturing exports e.g. cars. For that reason, although growth is still expected to be in the region of nearly 2% for 2018, the horizon is less clear than it seemed just a short while ago. Having halved its quantitative easing purchases of debt in October 2018 to €15bn per month, the European Central Bank (ECB) ended all further purchases in December 2018. The European Central Bank (ECB) is forecasting inflation to be a little below its 2% top limit through the next three years so it may find it difficult to warrant a start on raising rates by the end of 2019 if the growth rate of the European Union economy is on a weakening trend.

USA

President Trump's massive easing of fiscal policy is fuelling a, (temporary), boost in consumption which has generated an upturn in the rate of strong growth which rose from 2.2%, (annualised rate), in quarter 1 to 4.2% in quarter 2 and 3.5%, (3.0% y/y), in quarter 3, but also an upturn in inflationary pressures. The strong growth in employment numbers and the reduction in the unemployment rate to 3.9%, near to a recent 49 year low, has fed through to an upturn in wage inflation which hit 3.2 % in November, however, Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation overall fell to 2.2% in November and looks to be on a falling trend to drop below the Fed's target of 2% during 2019. The Fed has continued on its series of increases in interest rates with another 0.25% increase in December to between 2.25% and 2.50%, this being the fifth increase in 2018 and the ninth in this cycle. However, they did also reduce their forecast for further increases from three to two. This latest increase compounded investor fears that the Fed is over doing the rate and level of increases in rates and that it is going to cause a US recession as a result. There is also much evidence in previous monetary policy cycles, of the Fed's series of increases doing exactly that. Consequently, we have seen stock markets around the world plunging under the weight of fears around the Fed's actions, the trade war between the US and China, an expectation that world growth will slow, Brexit etc.

The tariff war between the US and China has been generating a lot of heat during 2018, but it is not expected that the current level of actual action would have much in the way of a significant effect on US or world growth. However, there is a risk of escalation if an agreement is not reached soon between the US and China.

CHINA

Economic growth has been weakening over successive years, despite repeated rounds of central bank stimulus; medium term risks are increasing. Major progress still needs to be made to eliminate excess industrial capacity and the stock of unsold property, and to address the level of non-performing loans in the banking and credit systems. Progress has been made in reducing the rate of credit creation, particularly from the shadow banking sector, which is feeding through into lower economic growth. There are concerns that official economic statistics are inflating the published rate of growth.

JAPAN

Japan has been struggling to stimulate consistent significant Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth and to get inflation up to its target of 2%, despite huge monetary and fiscal stimulus. It is also making little progress on fundamental reform of the economy. It is likely that loose monetary policy will endure for some years yet to try to stimulate growth and modest inflation.

Emerging countries

Argentina and Turkey are currently experiencing major headwinds and are facing challenges in external financing requirements well in excess of their reserves of foreign exchange. However, these countries are small in terms of the overall world economy, (around 1% each), so the fallout from the expected recessions in these countries will be minimal.

INTEREST RATE FORECASTS

The interest rate forecasts provided by Link Asset Services in paragraph 3.4.2 are predicated on an assumption of an agreement being reached on Brexit between the UK and the EU. In the event of an orderly non-agreement exit, it is likely that the Bank of England would take action to cut Bank Rate from 0.75% in order to help economic growth deal with the adverse effects of this situation. This is also likely to cause short to medium term gilt yields to fall. If there was a disorderly Brexit, then any cut in Bank Rate would be likely to last for a longer period and also depress short and medium gilt yields correspondingly. It is also possible that the government could act to protect economic growth by implementing fiscal stimulus.

The balance of risks to the UK

- The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is probably neutral.
- The balance of risks to increases in Bank Rate and shorter term PWLB rates, are probably also even and are broadly dependent on how strong GDP growth turns out, how slowly inflation pressures subside, and how quickly the Brexit negotiations move forward positively.

One risk that is both an upside and downside risk, is that all central banks are now working in very different economic conditions than before the 2008 financial crash as there has been a major increase in consumer and other debt due to the exceptionally low levels of borrowing rates that have prevailed for ten years since 2008. This means that the neutral rate of interest in an economy, (i.e. the rate that is neither expansionary nor deflationary), is difficult to determine definitively in this new environment, although central banks have made statements that they expect it to be much lower than before 2008. Central banks could therefore either over or under do increases in central interest rates.

Downside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates currently include:

- Brexit – if it were to cause significant economic disruption and a major downturn in the rate of growth.
- Bank of England monetary policy takes action too quickly, or too far, over the next three years to raise Bank Rate and causes UK economic growth, and increases in inflation, to be weaker than we currently anticipate.
- A resurgence of the eurozone sovereign debt crisis, possibly in Italy, due to its high level of government debt, low rate of economic growth and vulnerable banking system, and due to the election in March of a government which has made a lot of anti-austerity noise. The EU rejected the initial proposed Italian budget and demanded cuts in government spending which the Italian government initially refused. However, a fudge was subsequently agreed, but only by *delaying* the planned increases in expenditure to a later year. This can have therefore only been kicked down the road to a later time. The rating agencies have started on downgrading Italian debt to one notch above junk level. If Italian debt were to fall below investment grade, many investors would be unable to hold it. Unsurprisingly, investors are becoming increasingly concerned by the words and actions of the Italian government and consequently, Italian bond yields have risen – at a time when the government faces having to refinance large amounts of debt maturing in 2019.

- Weak capitalisation of some European banks. Italian banks are particularly vulnerable; one factor is that they hold a high level of Italian government debt - debt which is falling in value. This is therefore undermining their capital ratios and raises the question of whether they will need to raise fresh capital to plug the gap.
- German minority government. In the German general election of September 2017, Angela Merkel's Christian Democratic Union (CDU) party was left in a vulnerable minority position dependent on the fractious support of the Social Democratic Party (SPD), as a result of the rise in popularity of the anti-immigration Alternative for Germany (AfD) party. Then in October 2018, the results of the Bavarian and Hesse state elections radically undermined the Social Democratic Party (SPD), and showed a sharp fall in support for the Christian Democratic Union (CDU). As a result, the Social Democratic Party (SPD), is reviewing whether it can continue to support a coalition that is so damaging to its electoral popularity. After the result of the Hesse state election, Angela Merkel announced that she would not stand for re-election as Christian Democratic Union (CDU) party leader at her party's convention in December 2018, (a new party leader has now been elected). However, this makes little practical difference as she is still expected to aim to continue for now as the Chancellor. However, there are five more state elections coming up in 2019 and European Union parliamentary elections in May/June; these could result in a further loss of electoral support for both the Christian Democratic Union (CDU) and Social Democratic Party (SPD), which could also undermine her leadership.
- Other minority eurozone governments. Spain, Portugal, Ireland, the Netherlands and Belgium all have vulnerable minority governments dependent on coalitions which could prove fragile. Sweden is also struggling to form a government due to the anti-immigration party holding the balance of power, and which no other party is willing to form a coalition with. The Belgian coalition collapsed in December 2018 but a minority caretaker government has been appointed until the May EU wide general elections.
- Austria, the Czech Republic and Hungary now form a strongly anti-immigration bloc within the EU while Italy, in 2018, also elected a strongly anti-immigration government. Elections to the EU parliament are due in May/June 2019.
- Further increases in interest rates in the US could spark a sudden flight of investment funds from more risky assets e.g. shares, into bonds yielding a much improved yield. Throughout the last quarter of 2018, we saw sharp falls in equity markets interspersed with occasional partial rallies. Emerging countries which have borrowed heavily in dollar denominated debt, could be particularly exposed to this risk of an investor flight to safe havens e.g. UK gilts.
- There are concerns around the level of US corporate debt which has swollen massively during the period of low borrowing rates in order to finance mergers and acquisitions. This has resulted in the debt of many large corporations being downgraded to a BBB credit rating, close to junk status. Indeed, 48% of total investment grade corporate debt is now rated at BBB. If such corporations fail to generate profits and cash flow to reduce their debt levels as expected, this could tip their debt into junk ratings which will increase their cost of financing and further negatively impact profits and cash flow.
- Geopolitical risks, especially North Korea, but also in Europe and the Middle East, which could lead to increasing safe haven flows.

Upside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates

- Brexit – if both sides were to agree a compromise that removed all threats of economic and political disruption.

- The Fed causing a sudden shock in financial markets through misjudging the pace and strength of increases in its Fed Funds Rate and in the pace and strength of reversal of quantitative easing (QE), which then leads to a fundamental reassessment by investors of the relative risks of holding bonds, as opposed to equities. This could lead to a major flight from bonds to equities and a sharp increase in bond yields in the US, which could then spill over into impacting bond yields around the world.
- The Bank of England is too slow in its pace and strength of increases in Bank Rate and, therefore, allows inflation pressures to build up too strongly within the UK economy, which then necessitates a later rapid series of increases in Bank Rate faster than we currently expect.
- UK inflation, whether domestically generated or imported, returning to sustained significantly higher levels causing an increase in the inflation premium inherent to gilt yields.

Brexit timetable and process

- March 2017: UK government notified the European Council of its intention to leave under the Treaty on European Union Article 50 on 29 March 2019.
- 25.11.18 EU27 leaders endorsed the withdrawal agreement
- Dec 2018 vote in the UK Parliament on the agreement was postponed
- 21.12.18 – 8.1.19 UK parliamentary recess
- 14.1.19 vote in Parliament on a 'no deal' scenario
- By 29.3.19 second vote (?) in UK parliament if first vote rejects the deal
- By 29.3.19 if the UK Parliament approves a deal, then ratification by the EU Parliament requires a simple majority
- By 29.3.19 if the UK and EU parliaments agree the deal, the EU Council needs to approve the deal; 20 countries representing 65% of the EU population must agree
- 29.3.19 UK leaves the EU, (or asks the EU for agreement to an extension of the Article 50 period if the UK Parliament rejects the deal and no deal departure?)
- 29.3.19: if an agreement is reached with the EU on the terms of Brexit, then this will be followed by a proposed transitional period ending around December 2020.
- UK continues as a full EU member until March 2019 with access to the single market and tariff free trade between the EU and UK. Different sectors of the UK economy may leave the single market and tariff free trade at different times during the transitional period.
- The UK and EU would attempt to negotiate, among other agreements, a bi-lateral trade agreement over that period.
- The UK would aim for a negotiated agreed withdrawal from the EU, although the UK could also exit without any such agreements in the event of a breakdown of negotiations.
- If the UK exits without an agreed deal with the EU, World Trade Organisation rules and tariffs could apply to trade between the UK and EU - but this is not certain.
- On full exit from the EU: the UK parliament would repeal the 1972 European Communities Act.

TREASURY MANAGEMENT SCHEME OF DELEGATION

APPENDIX: Treasury management scheme of delegation

(i) Full Council

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities
- approval of annual strategy and annual outturn

(ii) Cabinet

- approval of/amendments to the Council's adopted clauses, treasury management policy statement and treasury management practices (recommendations to Council)
- budget consideration and approval (recommendations to Council)
- approval of the division of responsibilities
- receiving and reviewing regular monitoring reports and acting on recommendations
- receiving annual treasury management strategy, annual outturn, quarterly reports and also adhoc reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities
- reviewing and scrutinising the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to full Council.

(iii) Audit, Governance and Standards Committee

- reviewing and scrutinising the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to Cabinet.

THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT ROLE OF THE SECTION 151 OFFICER

The S151 (responsible) officer

- recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- recommending the appointment of external service providers.
- preparation of a capital strategy to include capital expenditure, capital financing, non-financial investments and treasury management, with a long term timeframe
- ensuring that the capital strategy is prudent, sustainable, affordable and prudent in the long term and provides value for money.
- ensuring that due diligence has been carried out on all treasury and non-financial investments and is in accordance with the risk appetite of the Council
- ensure that the Council has appropriate legal powers to undertake expenditure on non-financial assets and their financing.
- ensuring the proportionality of all investments so that the Council does not undertake a level of investing which exposes the Council to an excessive level of risk compared to its financial resources
- ensuring that an adequate governance process is in place for the approval, monitoring and ongoing risk management of all non-financial investments and long term liabilities
- provision to members of a schedule of all non-treasury investments including material investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures, loans and financial guarantees.
- ensuring that members are adequately informed and understand the risk exposures taken on by the Council
- ensuring that the Council has adequate expertise, either in house or externally provided, to carry out the above
- creation of Treasury Management Practices which specifically deal with how non-treasury investments will be carried out and managed.